CHROMIUM (ATOMIC ABSORPTION, FURNACE TECHNIQUE)
EPA METHOD 7191 REVISION 0 SEPTEMBER 1986 **Page 1 of 1**

Facility Name:_____VELAP ID_____

Assessor Name:_____Analyst Name:_____Inspection Date_____

Relevant Aspect of Standards	Method Reference	Y	N	N/A	Comments
Records Examined: SOP Number/ Revision/ Date _____ Analyst:_____ Sample ID: _____ Date of Sample Preparation:_____ Date of Analysis:_____					
Quality Control:					
Was a calibration curve prepared each day with a minimum of a calibration blank and three standards?	7000 A 8.2 (Rev 1 1992)				
Was an initial calibration standard analyzed to be within 10% of its true value for a curve to be considered valid?	7000 A 8.2 (Rev 1 1992)				
After every 10 samples, was a mid-range check standards analyzed to be within 20% of its true value?	7000 A 8.3 (Rev 1 1992)				
Was at least one matrix spike and one matrix spike duplicate included with each analytical batch?	7000 A 8.4 (Rev 1 1992)				
If samples had analyte concentrations above 25 times the detection limit, was one typical sample from each analytical batch selected for dilution to determine whether interferences were present?	7000 A 8.6.1 (Rev 1 1992)				
If the above undiluted sample and diluted sample did not agree to within 10%, were samples matrix spikes of these samples determined to be between 85 and 115% recovery?	7000 A 8.6.1 (Rev 1 1992)				
If all samples in a batch had analyte concentrations less than 10 times the detection limit, were matrix spikes found to be between 85 and 115%?	7000 A 8.6.2 (Rev 1 1992)				
If above matrix spikes in above steps did not have recoveries between 85 and 115%, were all samples in the associated batches analyzed with method of standard additions?	7000 A 8.6.2 (Rev 1 1992)				
Notes/ Comments:					